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ABSTRACT

This paper is concerned with using a frequency–based passengers’ route choice models for circular closed bus routes. Transit passengers, in many cases, deal with overlapping bus routes with some routes sharing common sections and stops. Passenger assignment problem is a major task in frequency setting of bus service which aims to minimize passengers and operator costs. In this work, mixed integer mathematical formulation based on passenger choice problem is developed to track each proportion of passengers’ in selecting bus routes for -0- and -1- trips transfer. The proposed model would help for a given transit network and total bus fleet size to minimize network total travel time. It would optimally distribute frequency among bus routes, regarding passengers’ interests in selecting bus routes. It differs from traditional models, since it helps in tracking different users while they are moving on the network. Numerical examples are given to clarify the proposed mathematical formulation. Circular bus routes operational performance is tested using Mandl’s network benchmark problem. Genetic Algorithm has been implemented with Matlab language in solution procedure. Comparing results with previous work indicates that circular bus routes manage in minimizing average user travel time for the same operator cost. The results from this study would help in further work to optimize transit vehicle size and stops distances along each single bus route.
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1. Introduction

With increasing traffic on roads, more mobility-related problems such as congestion, air pollution, noise pollution, and accidents are created. Therefore, the need for new transportation infrastructures for serving new towns and/or improving existing transportation structures to cope with such increase is an urge. These transportation planning, designing and management issues are addressed in the Transit Network Design Problem (TNDP) [1]. The TNDP aims to design a set of bus routes and manage their operation in an efficient manner for both users and operators. Different system functions and targets, required for each group of participants, have to be met through solution methodology. TNDP is simply related to the determination of a set of routes defined over the street network with their corresponding schedules to deal with demand trips [2].

Over the last five decades, the TNDP have been under study for many researchers, most likely because the problem is practically important, theoretically interesting, highly complicated, and multi-disciplinary as well. Solving TNDP depends on solving two sub-problems, namely, transit route design problem and frequency setting problem. Transit route design aims to find efficient transit routes that combine maximum demand coverage
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The motivation of this paper is to provide an explicit mathematical programming for transit passenger assignment problem. The model would be able to track the behavior of each proportion of transit passengers in selecting bus routes, for a given set of bus routes defined over transit network and bus available fleet. This would be the key for optimal frequency setting on bus routes. Although, the analysis is mainly concerned with frequency setting on circular route bus route, the proposed model can be easily extended to other type of bus routes.

2. Passenger Assignment on Circular Bus Routes

In the operation side of the TNDP (bus service frequency setting problem), the planner is interested in setting bus frequency on the network in the most precise way regarding which routes to be used and loaded, according to passengers’ interest. The problem arisen here is transit passenger assignment problem. It is the major component in transit route frequency setting. It can be defined as the query of passenger flows on transit networks segments. Passenger assignment is a process of predicting passengers’ behavior in selecting bus routes according to route time length and bus frequency for each bus route [14].

Transit passengers in many cases have to deal with overlapping bus route with some routes sharing sections and common stops. This problem is sub-problem of transit passenger assignment, called common-lines problem. Various assumptions and studies are made in order to track passengers’ behavior towards a given supply of transit service [15, 16].
Spiess, 1983, developed the concept of clever passenger into passenger optimal strategies. Passengers would minimize the sum of waiting time and in-vehicle time in their boarding strategies. If there is more than one route serving an origin node (i) and destination node (j), see Fig. (1). This would lead the passengers, who wish to travel from (i) to (j), to determine a sub-set of bus routes (attractive lines) boarding the first incoming bus of these routes. The fraction of passengers (P_r) served by each route r ∈ R^a, considering R^a is the set of attractive lines between (i) and (j), would be estimated as:

\[
P_r = \frac{f_r}{\sum_{r \in R^a} f_r}
\]

(1)

The expected waiting (E[WT]) time is given as:

\[
E[WT] = \frac{\alpha}{\sum_{r \in R^a} f_r}
\]

(2)

where; \( \alpha \) is a parameter, such that \( \alpha = 1 \) for Poisson vehicle arrivals (as adopted in this research), or \( \alpha = 0.5 \) for deterministic arrivals, \( f_r \) = frequency of route r. Attractive lines set depends on routes travel time and frequency.

![Fig. 1. i-j set of routes (arcs)](image)

For origin node (i) the relaxation of the problem can be defined as [14, 17 and 18];

\[
\text{minimize} \quad \sum_{a \in A} t_a v_a + \sum_{i \in N} \omega_i
\]

(3)

s.t.

\[
\sum_{a \in A_i^+} v_a - \sum_{a \in A_i^-} v_a = b_i \quad \forall \ i \in N
\]

(4)

\[
\omega_i = \frac{d_i}{\sum_{a \in A_i^+} f_a x_a} \quad \forall \ i \in N
\]

(5)

\[
v_a \leq f_a \omega_i \quad \forall \ a \in A_i^+ , \forall \ i \in N
\]

(6)

\[
v_a \geq 0 \quad \forall \ a \in A_i
\]

(7)

In this paper we propose mixed integer formulation which would track each portion of passengers’ behavior in selecting bus routes from origin (i) to destination (j) and passing through transfer node (m), if it is existed. Also, we would symbolize the number of trips travelling from (i) to (j) using route r with \( g_r \) which is considered our main decision.
variable in determining optimal bus frequency distribution among bus routes for a certain total bus fleet. In this work, we would assume that:

- Passenger would select bus route, among subset of bus routes, that minimizes total trip travel time (in-vehicle plus waiting time), boarding the first incoming bus from this subset.
- Passenger wouldn’t transfer if there is a direct route to his/her destination; even if the transfer would minimize the total trip travel time.
- Passenger, who would transfer, predetermines a certain transfer node which is the closet possible transfer node to his destination node.
- Arriving bus capacity is enough for waiting passengers.

2.1. Single circular bus route analysis (trips without transfer)

Interestingly, the single circular bus route itself includes common-lines problem. Circular bus route is a bus route which starts at a certain node and traverses other nodes once, then terminates at the start node. This type of routes includes two loops; clockwise and anticlockwise directions (i.e. each single circular route constitutes two different routes). At a certain origin node, each one of the two directions can serve passengers to all other destination nodes on the circular route, but mostly, one of the two directions is short and the other is long (according to in-vehicle time) to destination node, as depicted in Fig (2).

Considering a number of passengers ($d_{i-j}$) that would move from (i) to (j), they would choose between the two paths ($r_1$ & $r_2$), since $t_{i-j}^{r_1}$ and $t_{i-j}^{r_2}$ are the travel time on $r_1$ (the short direction) and $r_2$ (the long direction), see Fig. (2). If all passengers are willing to take the shortest route ($r_1$), it would cause each passenger to expertise total travel time (in-vehicle plus waiting time) equal to; $t_{i-j}^{r_1} + 1/(f_{r_1})$.

Passengers try to minimize their total travel time by boarding the first incoming bus of the two routes, if only; $[t_{i-j}^{r_2} + 1/(f_{r_1} + f_{r_2})] \leq t_{i-j}^{r_1} + 1/(f_{r_1})$. It would be attractive to passengers to choose between the two paths. Total trips ($d_{i,j}$) would split into $g_{i-j}^{r_1}$ & $g_{i-j}^{r_2}$ according to $f_{r_1}$ & $f_{r_2}$.

$$g_{i-j}^{r_1} = d_{i,j} \times f_{r_1} / (f_{r_1} + f_{r_2})$$
$$g_{i-j}^{r_2} = d_{i,j} \times f_{r_2} / (f_{r_1} + f_{r_2})$$

Total travel time for all users = $[t_{i-j}^{r_1} + 1/(f_{r_1} + f_{r_2})] \times g_{i-j}^{r_1} + [t_{i-j}^{r_2} + 1/(f_{r_1} + f_{r_2})] \times g_{i-j}^{r_2}$

Fig. 2. Circular route configuration
To track passengers behavior in selecting bus routes (each number of passengers uses route \( r \), \( g^{r\_i\_j} \)), we would represent the problem solution by mixed integer programming. The travel time for user would be given as optimization problem minimize 

\[
\sum_{r \in R_i} t^{r\_i\_j} \times \delta^{r\_i\_j} \text{ (in -vehicle time)} + \frac{1}{\sum_{r \in R_i} f_r \times \delta^{r\_i\_j}} \text{ (waiting time)}
\]  

(8) 

It would be written as;

\[
\text{Minimize } \frac{1+ \sum_{r \in R_i} f_r \times t^{r\_i\_j} \times \delta^{r\_i\_j}}{\sum_{r \in R_i} f_r \times \delta^{r\_i\_j}}
\]  

(9) 

For all users, it would be written as;

\[
\text{Minimize} \sum_{r \in R_i} g^{r\_i\_j} \times \frac{1+ \sum_{r \in R_i} f_r \times t^{r\_i\_j} \times \delta^{r\_i\_j}}{\sum_{r \in R_i} f_r \times \delta^{r\_i\_j}}
\]  

(10) 

s.t.

\[
g^{r\_i\_j} = d_{i\_j} \times \frac{f_r \times \delta^{r\_i\_j}}{\sum_{r \in R_i} f_r \times \delta^{r\_i\_j}} \quad \forall \ r
\]  

(11) 

\[
\sum_r g^{r\_i\_j} \times \delta^{r\_i\_j} = d_{i\_j}
\]  

(12) 

A simple numerical example is given to clarify passengers’ decisions for selecting bus routes according to a certain circular route configuration (see Fig. (2)) and three cases of bus service; 

**Example Cases:**

**Case (1)**

Given \( d_{i\_j} = 100 \) trip/hr, \( t^{r\_1\_i\_j} = 9 \) min, \( t^{r\_2\_i\_j} = 11 \) min (total circular route length = 20 min), \( f_{r1} = 12 \) bus/hr, \( f_{r2} = 12 \) bus/hr (total fleet size = 8).

minimize 

\[
g^{r\_1\_i\_j} \times \frac{1+9 \times \frac{12}{60} \times \delta^{r\_1\_i\_j}}{\frac{12}{60} \times \delta^{r\_1\_i\_j} + \frac{12}{60} \times \delta^{r\_2\_i\_j}} + g^{r\_2\_i\_j} \times \frac{1+9 \times \frac{12}{60} \times \delta^{r\_1\_i\_j} + 11 \times \frac{12}{60} \times \delta^{r\_2\_i\_j}}{\frac{12}{60} \times \delta^{r\_1\_i\_j} + \frac{12}{60} \times \delta^{r\_2\_i\_j}}
\]  

s.t 

\[
g^{r\_1\_i\_j} = 100 \times \frac{\frac{12}{60} \times \delta^{r\_1\_i\_j}}{\frac{12}{60} \times \delta^{r\_1\_i\_j} + \frac{12}{60} \times \delta^{r\_2\_i\_j}}
\]  

\[
g^{r\_2\_i\_j} = 100 \times \frac{\frac{12}{60} \times \delta^{r\_2\_i\_j}}{\frac{12}{60} \times \delta^{r\_1\_i\_j} + \frac{12}{60} \times \delta^{r\_2\_i\_j}}
\]  

\[
g^{r\_1\_i\_j} \times \delta^{r\_1\_i\_j} + g^{r\_2\_i\_j} \times \delta^{r\_2\_i\_j} = 100
\]  

Solution: 

\[
\delta^{r\_1\_i\_j} = 1 \\
\delta^{r\_2\_i\_j} = 1
\]  

g^{r\_1\_i\_j} = 50 \text{ trips} \\
g^{r\_2\_i\_j} = 50 \text{ trips}

Total travel time = 1250 min \\
Average travel time (ATT) = 12.5 min/user
Case (2):

Given $d_{i-j} = 100$ trip/hr, $t_{i-j}^{r_1} = 9$ min, $t_{i-j}^{r_2} = 11$ min, $f_{r_1} = 18$ bus/hr, $f_{r_2} = 6$ bus/hr, (total bus fleet = 8)

\[
\text{minimize } g_{i-j}^{r_1} \times \frac{1+9 \times \frac{18}{60} \times \delta_{i-j}^{r_1} + 11 \times \frac{9}{60} \times \delta_{i-j}^{r_2}}{\frac{18}{60} \times \delta_{i-j}^{r_1} + \frac{6}{60} \times \delta_{i-j}^{r_2}} + g_{i-j}^{r_2} \times \frac{1+9 \times \frac{18}{60} \times \delta_{i-j}^{r_1} + 11 \times \frac{6}{60} \times \delta_{i-j}^{r_2}}{\frac{18}{60} \times \delta_{i-j}^{r_1} + \frac{6}{60} \times \delta_{i-j}^{r_2}}
\]

\[\text{s.t.}\]
\[g_{i-j}^{r_1} = 100 \times \frac{18 \times \delta_{i-j}^{r_1}}{18 \times \delta_{i-j}^{r_1} + 6 \times \delta_{i-j}^{r_2}}\]
\[g_{i-j}^{r_2} = 100 \times \frac{6 \times \delta_{i-j}^{r_1}}{18 \times \delta_{i-j}^{r_1} + 6 \times \delta_{i-j}^{r_2}}\]
\[g_{i-j}^{r_1} \times \delta_{i-j}^{r_1} + g_{i-j}^{r_2} \times \delta_{i-j}^{r_2} = 100\]

Solution:
\[
\delta_{i-j}^{r_1} = 1
\]
\[g_{i-j}^{r_1} = 100 \text{ trips}\]
\[g_{i-j}^{r_2} = 0\]

Total travel time = 1233.34 min  \hspace{1cm} \text{ATT} = 12.34 \text{ min/user}

Case (3)

Given $d_{i-j} = 100$ trip/hr, $t_{i-j}^{r_1} = 9$ min, $t_{i-j}^{r_2} = 11$ min, $f_{r_1} = ?$ bus/hr, $f_{r_2} = ?$ bus/hr, and total bus fleet = 8

\[
\text{minimize } g_{i-j}^{r_1} \times \frac{1+9 \times f_{r_1} \times \delta_{i-j}^{r_1} + 11 \times f_{r_2} \times \delta_{i-j}^{r_2}}{f_{r_1} \times \delta_{i-j}^{r_1} + f_{r_2} \times \delta_{i-j}^{r_2}} + g_{i-j}^{r_2} \times \frac{1+9 \times f_{r_1} \times \delta_{i-j}^{r_1} + 11 \times f_{r_2} \times \delta_{i-j}^{r_2}}{f_{r_1} \times \delta_{i-j}^{r_1} + f_{r_2} \times \delta_{i-j}^{r_2}}
\]

\[\text{s.t.}\]
\[g_{i-j}^{r_1} = 100 \times \frac{f_{r_1} \times \delta_{i-j}^{r_1}}{f_{r_1} \times \delta_{i-j}^{r_1} + f_{r_2} \times \delta_{i-j}^{r_2}}\]
\[g_{i-j}^{r_2} = 100 \times \frac{f_{r_1} \times \delta_{i-j}^{r_1}}{f_{r_1} \times \delta_{i-j}^{r_1} + f_{r_2} \times \delta_{i-j}^{r_2}}\]
\[g_{i-j}^{r_1} \times \delta_{i-j}^{r_1} + g_{i-j}^{r_2} \times \delta_{i-j}^{r_2} = 100\]
\[f_{r_1} \times \delta_{i-j}^{r_1} + f_{r_2} \times \delta_{i-j}^{r_2} = 8\]

Solution:
\[
\delta_{i-j}^{r_1} = 1
\]
\[g_{i-j}^{r_1} = 100 \text{ trips}\]
\[g_{i-j}^{r_2} = 0\]
\[f_{r_1} = 24 \text{ bus/hr}\]
\[f_{r_2} = 0\]

Total travel time = 1150 min  \hspace{1cm} \text{ATT} = 11.5 \text{ min/user}
Remarks on the given example

In cases (1 and 2), it was obvious that passengers' route choice depending mainly on routes' frequency. Therefore, in case (3) the question arises, what are the best frequencies (for the same total bus fleet 8 bus) on route 1 and route 2, which would minimize total passengers' travel time? The model reaches equilibrium, when there is no change on $f_{r_1}$ or $f_{r_2}$, and would minimize passengers' total travel time.

The proposed model for bus route frequency setting, would minimize total travel time for all transit network users, for a given transit network (set of circular routes) and total bus fleet size (budget constraint). This would be achieved by optimally disturbing frequency among bus routes, taking into account passengers’ interests in selecting bus routes.

2.2. Multi – common circular bus routes analysis (trips with transfer)

Transit network constitutes mainly two types of trips; -0- transfer trips and -1- transfer trips. The analysis of -0- transfer trips would be considered as in Section 2.1 (i.e. origin and destination served by common routes). Trips with -1- transfer are more complicated in their analysis, since passengers are travelling using transfer node and two different routes. Trips with -2- transfer can be tracked as -1- transfer trips, in which -2- transfer trips include two transfer nodes and three routes selecting decisions. Since -2- transfer trips are usually a negligible proportion in transit networks, they were excluded from the analysis.

Passenger, with no direct route to his/her destination, would choose among attractive routes at the origin node to a certain transfer node. This would be repeated at the transfer node to the destination node. Passenger’s decision depends on routes travel time and frequencies for both origin and transfer nodes.

To better understand -1- transfer trips analysis on circular routes, a small transit network, Fig. (3), consists of two circular routes with node sequence (i-m-l-i & k-m-l-k) with common link (ml). It includes an origin node (i) with destination node (k).

![Fig. 3. Small transit network](image)

If a certain passenger would travel form i to k, he would first choose his attractive transfer point (m or l). If he considers (m) as the attractive transfer point for (i-k) trips, he would select first route (sr) to (m) transfer node and then second route (er) to (k) destination node. Different available scenarios for passenger’s decisions for travelling from (i) to (k) can be adopted, see Fig. (4).
In passenger’s decision for traveling from i to k using node (m), as attractive transfer point, one of the set of attractive routes at node (i) and at node (m) would be selected. The passenger decision would be as an optimization process:

\[
\text{minimize} \sum_{i} \sum_{m} (\text{decision at } i) + \sum_{m} (\text{decision at } m) \quad (13)
\]

For all users;

\[
\text{minimize} \sum_{sr} g_{i-m}^{sr} \times \frac{14 \sum_{er} f_{er} \times t_{m-k}^{er} \times \delta_{m-k}^{er}}{\sum_{er} f_{er} \times \delta_{m-k}^{er}} + \sum_{er} g_{m-k}^{er} \times \frac{14 \sum_{er} f_{er} \times t_{m-k}^{er} \times \delta_{m-k}^{er}}{\sum_{er} f_{er} \times \delta_{m-k}^{er}} \quad (14)
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
g_{i-m}^{sr} &= d_{i,k} \times \frac{f_{sr} \times \delta_{i-m}^{sr}}{\sum_{sr} f_{sr} \times \delta_{i-m}^{sr}} \\
g_{m-k}^{er} &= d_{i,k} \times \frac{f_{er} \times \delta_{m-k}^{er}}{\sum_{er} f_{er} \times \delta_{m-k}^{er}} \\
\sum_{sr} g_{i-j}^{sr} \times \delta_{i-j}^{sr} &= \sum_{er} g_{i-j}^{er} \times \delta_{i-j}^{er} = d_{i-k}
\end{align*}
\quad (15)
\]

2.3. General mathematical optimization model for passenger assignment problem

To derive a general mathematical optimization model for frequency setting on transit route network, we would assume; \( \forall i \in N \) there are two groups of destination nodes (l and k). l represents set of nodes satisfied directly (without transfer) by bus routes and k represents set of nodes satisfied with one transfer. m represents the attractive transfer node for i-j trips, once j \( \in k \).

\[
\text{minimize} \sum_{l \in N} \sum_{j \in l} \sum_{r \in R_{l}} g_{i-j}^{r} \times \frac{14 \sum_{r \in R_{l}} f_{r} \times t_{i-j}^{r} \times \delta_{i-j}^{r}}{\sum_{r \in R_{l}} f_{r} \times \delta_{i-j}^{r}} + \sum_{l \in N} \sum_{j \in k} \sum_{r \in R_{l}} g_{i-m}^{r} \times \frac{14 \sum_{r \in R_{l}} f_{r} \times t_{i-m}^{r} \times \delta_{i-m}^{r}}{\sum_{r \in R_{l}} f_{r} \times \delta_{i-m}^{r}} \quad (18)
\]

s.t.

\[
g_{i-j}^{r} = d_{i,j} \times \frac{f_{r} \times \delta_{i-j}^{r}}{\sum_{r \in R_{l}} f_{r} \times \delta_{i-j}^{r}} \quad \forall r \in R_{l}, i \in N, j \in l
\quad (19)\]
3. Solution Search Tool

The proposed model at Equation (18) is a mixed integer nonlinear model, since it includes continuous variables \( g_{i-j}^r \) and \( f_r \) besides integer variable \( \delta_{i-j}^r \). Nonlinearity of the model is back to flow splitting constraints Eq. (19 – 21). The proposed model is difficult to be solved by exact methods, so we would propose Genetic Algorithm as stochastic search tool for solving the proposed model.

Genetic Algorithm (GA) is a powerful stochastic search tool, which is used in solving many mathematical programming problems by examining a large part of search space aiming to find optimal or near optimal solution. GA depends on the theory of evolution of genetics that next generation would be better than parents. Representing random population of individuals (feasible solution) as binary code (chromosomes) is considered the first generation for GA. Three sequential operators deal with this Generation to produce the next one (sons is better than parents), these operators namely, selection, reproduction and mutation. The reproduced generation is considered an initial solution for the next generation. The process continues until defined criteria of convergence are met, for more details see [19].

GA is one of the most preferable tools for solving Transit Network Design Problem. Usually, when one tackles TNDP solution as one part or partitions it into sub-problems. Different types of mathematical optimization models arise in solution procedure, such as; mixed integer programming, combinatorial integer programming and non-linear non-convex programming. These types are difficult (or impossible) to be solved with exact methods. GA is considered a plausible tool to tackle these problems to reach optimal or near optimal solution [2, 4].

Matlab 2011 provides an easy graphical user interface (GUI) to deal with Genetic Algorithm. Genetic Algorithm GUI eases changing its operator’s criteria or conditions of convergence for GA like number of maximum generations, maximum running time limit and etc. This would result in improving GA final results [20].
4. Illustrative Numerical Example for TNDP Solution using DRGA and GA

4.1. Mandl’s Benchmark transit network

In order to demonstrate the effectiveness of the solution methodology proposed in this paper, a popular benchmark network is solved (Mandl’s Swiss transit network). Mandl’s Swiss transit network is the most popular transit network that has been utilized by many researchers as a benchmark network to compare their results with Mandl’s solution results [2, 9, 10, 21 and 22]. Mandl’s transit network consists of 15 nodes connected by 21 links with a total demand of 15570 trips; see Fig. 5 [9].

Fig. 5. Mandl’s Network with travel time on links in minutes, reproduced from Mandl, 1980 [9]

4.2. Solution procedure for Mandl’s transit network

Our adopted approach to solve the TNDP follows two consecutive stages; 1). Solve transit route design problem, 2). Solve frequency setting problem. In the first stage, the DRGA, as a deterministic approach produced by Owais and Moussa, 2013, is used to generate a set of circular bus routes for Mandl’s network. The output from the first stage is used as an input for the second stage. In the second stage, the frequency setting on the set of circular bus routes is analyzed via GA, as a stochastic search tool, to solve the general mixed integer nonlinear model for Mandl’s network. The frequency setting stage aims to minimize total travel time for all transit network users (user cost) by optimally disturbing frequency among bus routes, taking into account passengers’ interests in selecting bus routes for a given operator budget (total bus fleet constraint).

The proposed solution assumes that the minimum and the maximum bus frequency on each bus route are 6 and 30 bus/hr, respectively. Bus vehicle capacity is fixed at 40 seats per bus. Transfer penalty is calculated by assuming five minutes as penalty for each trip doesn't have a direct route to its destination. In the next section, the DRGA and GA
solution results are presented and compared with some other approaches in terms of some planning and operational parameters.

The planning parameters include; number of routes, total route length (in min), percentage of demand coverage (with zero-, one-, and two- transfers), route network directness, and the transfer directness. Route network directness is an indicator to measure bus route deviation from the shortest path among main transit nodes pairs. In which, the value of route network directness which equals one indicates that all bus users would take the shortest path along their travel between origin and destination. The value of route network directness which exceeds one would indicate the delay caused by the set of bus routes to all users. The transfer directness is calculated as route network directness but for transfer trips only.

The operational parameters include; total demand served by the set of bus routes divided by total bus fleet size “TBF” (main operator cost), average in vehicle time (in min per user), average waiting time (in min per user), total transfer penalty (in min), average total travel time “ATT” (in min per user), and finally the DRGA and GA percentage of saving in average user travel time over other approaches.

4.3. Results and discussions

The first stage, using DRGA, resulted in four circular bus routes, see Fig 6. It is worth noting that the DRGA algorithm searches only for circular closed bus routes (DRGA doesn’t work with nodes connected by only one link), therefore, nodes (0, 8) were excluded from the analysis. The resulted network consists of 13 nodes with a total demand of 12370 trips per day.

![Fig. 6. DRGA set of routes configuration for Mandl’s network](image)

The results from DRGA and GA analysis are presented in Table (2) along with those from previous work. The first row indicates the source of the solutions to the benchmark problem. The second row gives the previous work year. The third row identifies the solutions search tool methods to the problem. Fourth to tenth row denote the route network...
characteristics (planning parameters). The remaining rows give the operational parameters for transit network solutions.

The proposed set of circular bus routes has advantage over other bus routes, under comparison, in mobility technique. In which, all other bus routes under comparison are shuttle bus service routes (i.e. bus moves forward and backward on the same line), but proposed bus routes are circular (i.e. bus moves on circular closed line). This technique allows single bus route to collect more nodes (more demand coverage) while keeping route directness.

The number of routes generated is considered, in regard with other planning parameters under comparison, the most important criteria in judging the planning efficiency of the solution. Less number of routes demonstrates the methodology strength in assembling candidate links in one continuous bus route and entails – accordingly – less number of common lines, which reduces the computational effort of frequency setting part. The DRGA solution has a set of four circular routes with total route length = 110 min to achieve demand coverage (\(D_o=84.41\%\), \(D_{o1}=15.43\%\), \(D_{o2}=0.16\%\)), however S&M, 1994, - for example- constructed eight routes with route length = 151 min to achieve demand coverage (\(D_o=87.73\%\), \(D_{o1}=12.27\%\)). Bagloee and Ceder, 2011, (recent research) implemented intense mathematical programming representation equipped with two search heuristic algorithms (Genetic Algorithm and Ant System) to produce twelve bus routes covering 83.66% directly with total route length of 261 min. Moreover, DRGA solution increases the transit attractiveness through keeping the best value of network directness considering total network directness along with transfer directness. It has the minimum value of transfer directness (1.06), so it would encourage even indirect origin destination trips to take the transit service.

DRGA and GA solution, at the same main operator cost, the total demand served by the set of routes divided by total bus fleet size “TBF”, the proposed circular bus routes could save in the average user travel time (user cost) compared with other pervious works’ solutions. Compared with Mandl’s, pioneer work, DRGA set of routes could save up to nearly 20 percent of average total travel time. Compared with Blgoee & Ceder, recent work could save up to 10 percent. DRGA and GA could improve the solution of TNDP in terms of planning parameters along with operational parameters.

Table 2.
Comparison with Pervious Works Approaches for Mandl’s Benchmark Transit network

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Search method</td>
<td>Mandl(^1)</td>
<td>DRGA &amp; GA</td>
<td>B&amp;M(^2)</td>
<td>DRGA &amp; GA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of routes</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0- transfer trips%</td>
<td>69.94</td>
<td>84.41</td>
<td>80.99</td>
<td>84.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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5. Conclusions

Transit Network Design Problem (TNDP) consists of two sub-problems; route design and frequency setting. The adopted approach aimed to solve the two problems in sequential manner. DRGA was proposed in a separate work paper to generate a set of efficient circular bus routes [13]. This paper concerns with frequency-based route choice models for passenger assignment. The interaction between transit user choice and given bus service pointed out that the problem is a mixed integer programming. GA as a powerful stochastic search tool has been implemented to solve the proposed model successfully. The proposed frequency setting model helps the designer to minimize total network travel time for a given bus fleet size by optimally distributing frequency among bus routes, while taking into account passengers’ interests.

Results of comparison with pervious work have shown that DRGA and GA set of circular bus routes improved Mandl’s transit network solution in terms of number of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One transfer trips%</td>
<td>29.93</td>
<td>15.43</td>
<td>19.01</td>
<td>15.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two transfer trips%</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route Network Directness</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer directness</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total route length (min)</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total demand served / TBF (Trip/bus)</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average in-vehicle time (mpu)</td>
<td>11.39</td>
<td>9.49</td>
<td>11.58</td>
<td>9.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average waiting time (mpu)</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total transfer penalty (min)</td>
<td>23500</td>
<td>9543</td>
<td>14800</td>
<td>9543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average transfer Penalty (mpu)</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRGA saving in average user travel time%</td>
<td>18.19</td>
<td>15.86</td>
<td>9.85</td>
<td>9.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Mandl’s method  2Baaj and Mahmassani’s method  3Shih and Mahmassani’s method  4Gravity Index & Ant System  5minute per user  6Results not reported
constructed routes, transit service coverage, transfer directness, and solution mobility and reliability. Moreover, DRGA and GA circular bus routes manage in minimizing user cost (average user travel time) compared with other methods at the same operator cost.

It differs from literature models, since it helps in tracking different users while they are moving on the network. The results from this study would help in optimizing transit vehicle size and stops spacing along each single bus route.

In future work, elastic demand would be considered, in order to model the changes in the origin-destination matrix according to the supply of public transport. Further, more studies of TNDP with environmental impact would be considered in the future, since the impact of emissions on health and global warming is one of today’s hot topics.
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7. Nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>the set of network arcs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A^+_i$</td>
<td>the set of network arcs leaving node i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A^-_i$</td>
<td>the set of network arcs entering node i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b_i$</td>
<td>flow generated at i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_i$</td>
<td>total flow go through node i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_o$</td>
<td>total demand served without transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{o1}$</td>
<td>total demand served with one transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{o2}$</td>
<td>total demand served with two transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{i,j}$</td>
<td>total transit trips from (i) to (j)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e_r$</td>
<td>second selected route to move from (m) to (k), $e_r \in R_m^k$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_a$</td>
<td>frequency on arc a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_r$</td>
<td>frequency of route (r)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{min}$</td>
<td>minimum bus frequency on route r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{max}$</td>
<td>maximum bus frequency on route r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g_{i-j}$</td>
<td>number of trips from (i) to (j) using (r), $r \in R_i^j$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i$</td>
<td>represents the origin node, $i \in N$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$j$</td>
<td>represents the destination node, $j \in N$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k$</td>
<td>set (i) destined nodes satisfied with one transfer by $R_i; k \subset N$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$l$</td>
<td>set (i) destined nodes satisfied without transfer by $R_i; l \subset N$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m$</td>
<td>Attractive transfer node for (i-j) trips, $j \in k$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N$</td>
<td>the set of network nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$</td>
<td>set of bus routes (r); $r \in R$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_i$</td>
<td>set of bus routes (r) pass through node (i) $R_i \subset R$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{i}^{l}$</td>
<td>set of bus routes (r) pass through nodes (i) and (j); $R_{i}^{l} \subset R_i$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$sr$</td>
<td>first selected route to move from (i) to (m), $sr \in R_i^m$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{bf}$</td>
<td>total available bus fleet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{r}$</td>
<td>bus route time length</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
travel time between (i) and (j) through bus route (r)
\( t_{r_{i-j}} \)
time on arc \( a \)
\( t_a \)
flow on arc \( a \)
\( v_a \)
bus vehicle seating capacity (seats)
\( V_s \)
total waiting time at node \( i \)
\( \omega_i \)
dummy variable, (1) if arc \( a \) belongs to attractive line set, (0) otherwise
\( \delta_{i-j} \)
dummy variable (1) if it is attractive for \((i-j)\) trips to use route \((r)\), (0) otherwise
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التشييمل الامثل لخطوط نقل اتوبيسات دائرية في المناطق الحضرية

المختص العربي

يهدف هذا البحث إلى تخطيط وتشفير شبكة نقل عام جيدة الاداء تغطي متطلبات حركة الراكب تتبع من الاهتمام بأختيارات الراكب ومدي تأثير مستوى الخدمة المقدمة على اختيارهم في الانتقال عبر خطوط الاتوبيس المختلفة. يمكن أن نقل من تكلفة نقل الراكب مع خفض زمن السفر لهم بالتزويج الامثل لتقاطع الاتوبيسات خلال الخطوط الدائرة المعدة مسبقا لتنشيط حركة الراكب بالاحذية حتى الاختيار ردوت افعال الراكب. ينقدم البحث نموذج محاكاة رياضي يمكن من خلاله تتباط اختيارات جميع الراكب خلال حركتهم في شبكة النقل العام ويزيد يمكن تحديد الالتمام المطلوب لكل خط تم حل النموذج الرياضي بواسطة برنامج الماتلاب ومساعدة نظام محاكاة يعتمد على نظرية التطور للجينات للوصول لأفضل حل حيث ان النموذج المعد من المستحيل حله بالنماذج الرياضية التقليدية. تم اختبار الحل المقتصر مع نماذج حвол من ابحاث سابقة لشبكة نقل عام مشهورة في مدينة سويبرية تعبر بشبكة ماندل. أثبتت نتائج هذا البحث أن النموذج الرياضي المقتصر يمكن من خلاله خفض زمن السفر لجميع الراكب على الشبكة بنفس تكلفة التشغيل.
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