An experimental study of shear behaviour of high strength reinforced-concrete corbels with and without fibers was investigated. Seventeen high strength reinforced-concrete corbels were tested. The test variables were steel fiber content ($V_f\%$), shear span-to-depth ratio ($a/d$), concrete strength ($f_{cu}$), area of main steel reinforcement ($A_{sm}$) and presence of horizontal stirrups. Test results showed that, addition of steel fibers or/and horizontal stirrups improves both shear strength and ductility of the tested corbels, and results in a more ductile failure mode. Test results were compared with shear strength predicted using ACI 38-08 equations and those proposed by other investigators. Comparison between the experimental and the predicted values of shear strengths showed that the model proposed by G. Campione et.al. [1], satisfactory predicted the ultimate load for high strength fiber reinforced-concrete corbels.
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### NOTATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$a/d$</td>
<td>shear span-to-depth ratio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{cu}$</td>
<td>cube compressive strength (N/mm$^2$)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{sh}$</td>
<td>area of horizontal stirrups (mm$^2$)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_f%$</td>
<td>steel fibers content</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{sm}$</td>
<td>area of main steel reinforcement (mm$^2$)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Corbels are structural members very commonly used in reinforced concrete structures, particularly in precast structures where their principal function is the transfer of vertical and horizontal forces to principal members. Corbels are structural members characterized by a shear span-to-depth ratio ($a/d$), generally lower than unity and subjected to concentrated forces as in support zones. For these reasons, they are host zones of the static and geometric discontinuities and the hypothesis assumed for members in flexure that sections remain plane after deformation is not valid in this case. Many studies [1-13] were conducted to determine experimentally and analytically, the strength of such elements when subjected to vertical and horizontal forces, and explained the role of the parameters that influence the performance of corbels, including their shape and dimensions, the type of longitudinal and transverse steel reinforcements, and the strength of concrete.
Recently, high strength concrete has been increasingly used in practice. But high-strength concrete is considered to be a relatively brittle material and has low ductility. In this investigation, the main goal was using steel fibers to convert the brittle characteristic to a ductile one, increasing the strength and improving the ductility.

In this research, the shear behavior of high-strength corbels with and without steel fiber was studied. The main variables investigated were, steel fibers content ($V_f\%$), shear span-to-depth ratio ($a/d$), concrete strength ($f_{cu}$), area of main steel reinforcement ($A_{sm}$) and presence of horizontal stirrups.

2. EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM

2.1 Test Specimens

Seventeen 150 x 150 x 200 mm high strength reinforced-concrete corbels, having the geometry and steel reinforcement arrangements shown in Fig 1, were tested. Main bars were bent at the ends with bond length equal to effective depth. Some corbels were reinforced with two horizontal stirrups having a diameter of 6 mm. For all specimens, column segments were reinforced with four deformed bars having 10 mm diameter and stirrups of 6 mm diameter placed at a pitch of 100 mm. Details of specimens are given in Table 1.

Fig 1-Load scheme of corbels and specimens geometry (mm)
2.2. Materials

- Concrete mix design was made to produce high strength concrete have cubic compressive strength of 60, 80 and 100 N/mm² after 28 days. Concrete mix proportions are given in Table 2.
- Ordinary Portland cement and local natural sand were used. The coarse aggregate was crushed basalt with a maximum nominal size of 10 mm and 20 mm.
- To enhance the strength of the concrete by means of pore refinement, Silica Fume was used. A high-range water-reducing admixture under a commercial name of Sekament F.F.3 was used to improve the workability of the mix.
- Deformed bars of about 400 N/mm² proof strength and having diameter 10, 12, 16 and 18 mm were used as main bars and column compression bars. The stirrups used were made of 6 mm diameter smooth bars of 330 N/mm² yield strength.
- Only one type of steel fibers - under a commercial name HAREX, made of steel having a minimum tensile strength of 400 N/mm² was used. The fibers were of 24 x 1.9 x 0.4 mm dimensions, with hooked-ends, and aspect ratio equals 24.
- All used materials are agreed with ECC.
Table 2. Concrete mix proportions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concrete Strength N/mm²</th>
<th>Cement Kg/m³</th>
<th>Fine Aggregate Kg/m³</th>
<th>Coarse Aggregate M.N.S 10 mm Kg/m³</th>
<th>Coarse Aggregate M.N.S 20 mm Kg/m³</th>
<th>Silica Fume Kg/m³</th>
<th>Super-Plasticizer Liter /m³</th>
<th>Water Liter /m³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3. Test Setup and Measurements

A hydraulic testing machine with bearing capacity of 600 kN was used. The load scheme adopted is shown in Fig 1. Corbels tested were supported symmetrically by two steel hinges placed at a distance (a) from the face of column as shown in Fig. 1. At each load incensement, vertical deflection of center of bottom surface of column, steel strain of main bar and concrete strain were recorded. Also for all corbels, the cracking load and the ultimate load are recorded while the patterns of crack propagation were observed.

3. TEST RESULTS

3.1. Pattern of Cracks and Mode of Failure

The first crack to appear was flexural crack starting at or near the column corbel interface and subsequent flexural cracks away from this section were formed. As this applied load increased, one of the flexural cracks in the shear span extended diagonally upward toward the corbel-column connection, or a diagonal crack formed at the mid height of the corbel within the shear span. After the formation of the diagonal cracks, corbels with higher volume of fibers or/and horizontal stirrups showed higher load carrying capacity. All corbels failed in shear and the failure was as follows. Finally all corbels failed by the extension of the diagonal crack toward the corbel-column connection. As shown in Fig 2. The trend of cracking was almost the same, except that the number of the cracks seemed to depend on the fiber or/and horizontal stirrups content. Corbels without fibers or without horizontal stirrups, exhibited a sudden failure. It has to be mentioned that the presence of high percentage of either steel fibers or/and horizontal stirrups transformed the failure mode into a more ductile one and increased the number of the diagonal cracks formed (Fig 2-a, Fig. 2-e). This indicates that steel fibers or/and horizontal stirrups became effective after shear cracks formed and continued to resist the principal tensile stress until failure occurred at one critical crack. However, increase in shear span-to- depth ratio transformed the failure mode to more ductile one and increased the number of the formed cracks (Fig 2-b). Also, increase in concrete compressive strength or percentage of main steel reinforcement results in increasing the first crack load, transforming the mode of failure into more brittle behaviour and reduces the number of the cracks (Fig 2-c, Fig 2-d).
3.2. Load Deflection Curves:

The load-deflection relationships for all tested corbels are shown in (Fig 3 to Fig 7). From these figures the following observations can be conclude:

- When fibers are present, an increase in strength capacity, about 19% in failure load of corbel was observed and at the same time the ductility improved by about 20%. It has to be mentioned that an increase of 30% in failure load was recorded by G. Campione et.al. [1] in case of flexural behavior.

- Increasing the shear span-to-depth ratio (a/d) decreasing the failure load and increasing the deflection of corbels with and without fibers (Fig 4).

- As expected, increasing concrete strength leads to increase carrying capacity of the corbels. Also, it is noticed that the ductility of FRC corbels increased in comparison with those without fibers. This confirms the advantage of using fiber in increasing ductility of corbels (Fig 5).

- Increase the main steel ratio results in increasing corbel strength. Effect of addition of fibers to improve behaviour of specimen was clear in case of corbels having smaller main steel ratio (Fig 6).

- Using horizontal stirrups, gives a little increase in corbels strength and decreases deflection of corbels. Moreover, the addition of fibers allows the attainment of complete flexural capacity and, consequently, a more ductile response (Fig 7).
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Fig 2-Effect of studied variable on cracks pattern

Fig 3-Effect of fiber content on load-deflection curve
Fig 4-Effect of shear span-to-depth ratio on load-deflection curve

Fig 5-Effect of concrete strength on load-deflection curve
3.4. Longitudinal Bar Strains

The variation of strain measured in tension reinforcement with increase in load is shown in Fig 8 to Fig 11 for some chosen corbels. These figures showed that, increasing either fiber content ($V_f$%), area of main steel ($A_{sm}$) or presence of horizontal stirrups decreased the strain of main steel for the same load. Also increase of shear span-to-depth ratio ($a/d$) leads to increase in the strain in main steel (Fig 9). However, all reinforced concrete corbels provided with steel fibers showed a small decrease in the main steel strain at the same load compare with that of corbels without fiber.
Fig 8 - Influence of fiber content on main steel strain

Fig 9 - Influence of shear span-to-depth ratio on main steel strain
4. DISCUSSION OF TEST RESULTS

4.1. Effect of Studied Variables on ultimate load and deflection at 90% ultimate load:

The obtained test results were analyzed to declare the effect of the various parameters included in this work on strength and deformation up to failure. These properties were measured by means of ultimate load and maximum deflection at 90% ultimate load, as follows:

4.1.1. Effect of Steel Fiber Content:

Figure 12 shows that increase in fiber contents from 0% to 1.0% and 1.5% results in an increase in ultimate capacity by 19% in failure load of corbel. However, this increase
leads to increase from 20% to 45% respectively in deflection at 0.9 $P_u$ of corbels. It has to be mentioned that an increase of 7.3% in failure load was recorded by N. Fattuhi et.al. [13] in case of corbels made of normal strength concrete. This behavior confirms the effect of fiber in improving ductility of high strength concrete.

![Graph showing effect of fiber content on failure load and deflection at 0.9 $P_u$.](image)

**Fig 12-Effect of fiber content on failure load and deflection at 0.9 $P_u$.**

### 4.1.2. Effect of Shear Span-to-Depth Ratio:

Figure 13 shows that increase in shear span-to-depth ratio from 0.45 to 0.6 and 0.75 results in a decrease of 10.4% and 21.6% respectively in failure load of corbels without fibers and a decrease of 3% and 6% respectively in failure load of corbels with 1.0% fibers content. However, this increase leads to increase of 22% and 23% respectively in deflection at 0.9 $P_u$ of corbels without fibers and increase of 42.3% and 44% respectively in deflection at 0.9 $P_u$ of corbels with 1.0% fibers content. It has to be mentioned that a decrease of 33% in failure load of normal strength concrete corbels without fibers and a decrease of 20% in failure load of normal strength concrete corbels with 1.0% fibers were recorded by N. Fattuhi et.al. [13] in case of increase in shear span-to-depth ratio from 0.59 to 0.83. It can be noticed also that improvement in ultimate load and ductility due to the presence of fibers content was more significant at higher span-to-depth ratio.
4.1.3. Effect of Concrete Strength:

Figure 14 shows that increase in concrete strength from 62.7 N/mm$^2$ to 70.5 N/mm$^2$ and 106.5 N/mm$^2$ results in an increase of 17.7% and 25.6% respectively in failure load and a decrease of 6.8% and 9.6% respectively in deflection at 0.9 $P_u$ of corbels without fibers. Moreover, increase in concrete strength from 65 N/mm$^2$ to 82 N/mm$^2$ and 106 N/mm$^2$ results in an increase of 17.3% and 19.1% respectively in failure load and a decrease of 10.4% and 12.2% respectively in deflection at 0.9 $P_u$ of corbels with 1.0% fibers content. It has to be mentioned that an increase of 14.3% in failure load of corbels without fibers was recorded by Y. Yong et.al. [4] in case of increase in concrete strength from 39 N/mm$^2$ to 54.7 N/mm$^2$. It can be noticed also that the improvement in ultimate load and ductility due to the presence of fibers content was more significant in those with higher concrete strengths.
4.1.4. Effect of Main Reinforcement:

It is clear from Fig. 15, that increase in main steel reinforcement ratio from 1.16% to 2.06% and 2.61% results in an increase of 54.2% and 65.2% respectively in failure load of corbels without fibers and an increase of 22.3% in failure load of corbels with 1.0% fibers content. However, this increase leads to decrease of 4.7% in deflection at 0.9 \( P_u \) of corbels without fibers and increase of 12.9% and 19.1% respectively in deflection at 0.9 \( P_u \) of corbels with 1.0% fibers content. It has to be mentioned that an increase of 89% in failure load of corbels without fibers and an increase of 67% in failure load of corbels with 1.0% fibers were recorded by N. Fattuhi et.al. [13] in case of increase in main steel reinforcement ratio from 0.51% to 1.16%. It can be then noticed that improvement in ultimate load due to increase fibers content was more significant in lower main steel reinforcement ratios. The addition of fibers makes the effect of the multi-axial state of stress to develop yielding stress in steel bars and it results in higher ductility.

![Fig 15-Effect of Main Reinforcement Ratio on failure load and deflection at 0.9 \( P_u \)](image)

4.1.5. Effect of Horizontal Stirrups:

It is clear from Fig. 16, that when horizontal steel reinforcement is presented, both the ultimate load and maximum deflection have been increased by 8.4% in failure load and 5% in deflection at 0.9 \( P_u \) of corbels without fibers. However, for an increase of 0.8% in failure load and 11.1% in deflection at 0.9 \( P_u \) were recorded of corbels with 1.0% fibers. It has to be mentioned that an increase of 58% in failure load of corbels without fibers and an increase of 19% in failure load of corbels with 1.0% fibers were recorded by G. Campione et.al. [1] in case of flexural behavior. It can be also noticed that improvement in ultimate capacity due to increase in fibers content was more significant in case of corbels without horizontal stirrups.
4.2. Comparison between Experimental and Predicted Ultimate Loads:

Many equations have been proposed to estimate the ultimate strength of reinforced concrete corbels. Among them, the ACI code [13], Fattuhi equation [10], Truss method [14] and G. Compione propose [1]. These equations can briefly presented as follows:

a) ACI code equation [14]

The ACI current design produces for corbels were based on shear friction and empirical relationship based on the flexural capacity of the section. The smallest of the three values is used for design. The three basic equations allowed for design of the corbels by ACI-318 are given as follows:

- Shear friction strength:
  \[ V_u = \phi \mu A_v f_y \]  \( \text{kN} \)  \( (1) \)

- Flexural strength:
  \[ V_u = \frac{M_u}{a} \]  \( \text{kN} \)  \( (2) \)
  \[ M_u = \phi A_{sm} f_y \left( d - \frac{A_{sm} f_y}{1.7 f'_c b} \right) \]  \( \text{kN.m} \)  \( (3) \)

- Maximum shear strength
  \[ V_u = 0.2 f'_c bd \]  \( \text{kN} \)  \( (4) \)

Where: \( V_u \) = corbel strength (N), \( \phi \) = strength reduction factor (assumed to be 1.0), \( \mu \) = coefficient of friction (assumed to be 1.4 for monolithic concrete), \( A_v \) = area of reinforcement extending across the critical section (mm\(^2\)), \( f_y \) = yield strength of the main reinforcement (N/mm\(^2\)), \( M_u \) = flexural moment (N.m), \( a \) = shear span length (mm), \( b \) = width of corbels (mm), \( f'_c \) = cylinder compressive strength (N/mm\(^2\)), \( d \) = overall depth of corbel (mm).

In using the above equations, cylinder compressive strength of concrete \( f'_c \) is required. For the reason that \( f'_c \) was not directly available from the tests, the following conversion formula proposed by Neville [15] was employed.
b) **Fattuhi equation** [10]

The predicted values are obtained by using the following modified shear-friction equation suggested by Fattuhi [10]

\[
V_u = \phi (\eta A_{vf} f_{fu} \mu) + \phi (A_{vf} f_y \mu)
\]

N (6)

Where: \(\eta\) = overall fiber efficiency factor = 0.1, \(A_{vf}\) = total area of fiber at critical section (mm\(^2\)), \(f_{fu}\) = ultimate tensile strength of the fiber (N/mm\(^2\)), \(\phi\) = strength reduction factor (assumed to be 1.0), \(\mu\) = coefficient of friction (assumed to be 1.4 for monolithic concrete), \(f_y\) = yield strength of the main reinforcement (N/mm\(^2\)).

c) **Truss analogy method** [14]

The equations of the truss analogy method (Fig 17) proposed by Hagberg [14], can be listed as follows:

\[
F_s = A_{sm} f_y
\]

N (7)

\[
V_u = \frac{F_s}{\tan \beta}
\]

N (8)

Where: \(F_s\) = force in main steel (N), \(f_y\) = yield strength of the main reinforcement (N/mm\(^2\)), \(\beta\) = angle of inclination of the compressive concrete strut with vertical column

d) **G. Campione proposes et.al.** [1]

\[
f'_{c} = [0.76 + 0.2 \log_{10}(\frac{f_{cu}}{19.59})] f_{cu}
\]

N/mm\(^2\) (5)

\[
f'_{c} = \frac{f_{c} - x_{c}}{3} + \frac{b}{\sin \alpha \cos \alpha} \left[ 0.2 \sqrt{F_c} F \left( d - x_c \right) \left( 1 - \frac{f_{uf}}{f_y} \frac{E_s}{E_{ef}} + c \right) \right]
\]

N (9)

\[
f'_{c} = x_{c} f_c^a \sin \alpha + \frac{b}{\sin \alpha \cos \alpha} \left[ 0.2 \sqrt{F_c} F \left( d - x_c \right) \left( 1 - \frac{f_{uf}}{f_y} \frac{E_s}{E_{ef}} + c \right) \right]
\]

N (10)

Where: \(c\) = cover thickness (mm), \(E_{cf}\) = modulus of elasticity of fibers concrete (N/mm\(^2\)), \(E_s\) = elastic modulus of steel (N/mm\(^2\)), \(F\) = fiber factor, \(f'_{c}\) = peak compressive strength of concrete (N/mm\(^2\)), \(f_{uf}\) = ultimate tensile strength of fibers concrete (N/mm\(^2\)), \(f_y\) = yielding stress (N/mm\(^2\)), \(V_{u1}\) = ultimate shear force in case of steel failure in principal truss.
(N/mm$^2$), $V_{n2}$ = ultimate shear force in case of compressive failure in principal truss (N/mm$^2$), $x_c$ = neutral axis depth (mm), $\alpha$ = angle between strut and tie of principal truss, $\chi$ = a dimensional interpolating function (mm).

The smaller of the two values is used to predicate the ultimate load.

Table 3 and Fig. 18 show a compression between the experimental values of corbels capacity with those derived from the above equations. It can be noticed that G. Campione's equations [1] is estimated satisfactorily the ultimate load for high strength fiber reinforced concrete corbels.

### Table 3. Corbels results and comparison between experimental and predicted ultimate load

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$P_{cr}/2$ (kN)</td>
<td>$\delta_{cr}$ (mm)</td>
<td>$P_{u}/2$ (kN)</td>
<td>$\delta_{90}$ (mm)</td>
<td>$P_{cr}/2$ (kN)</td>
<td>$P_{u}/2$ (kN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>B1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>C.S.</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>B3</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>F.S.</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>B4</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>F.S.</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>B5</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>C.S.</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>B7</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>D.S.</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>B6</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>C.S.</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>B8</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>F.S.</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>B9</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>D.S.</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>B11</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>C.S.</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>B10</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>F.S.</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>B12</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>F.S.</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>B17</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>C.S.</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>B19</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>D.S.</td>
<td>299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>B18</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>F.S.</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>B20</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>C.S.</td>
<td>299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>B15</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>F.S.</td>
<td>262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>B16</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>F.S.</td>
<td>261</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Mean          | 1.060    | 0.978               | 1.028          | 0.991 |
| S.D.          | 0.172    | 0.136               | 0.146          | 0.119 |

Where:
- $P_{cr}$ = cracking load.
- $P_{u}$ = ultimate load
- $\delta_{cr}$ = deflection at cracking load.
- $\delta_{90}$ = deflection at 0.9 $P_u$
- C.S. = Catastrophic shear
- D.S. = diagonal shear
- F.S. = flexural shear
5. CONCLUSIONS

Based on the test results and the above discussions, the following conclusions can be drawn:

The presence of high percentage of fibers or/and horizontal stirrups transformed the mode of failure of the tested corbels into a more ductile one and increased the number of diagonal cracks.

Addition of steel fibers not only improves the shear strength of the tested corbels, but also increases the stiffness of these corbels. This improvement is more significant in case of corbels without stirrups.

The improvement in shear strength of tested corbels was more significant for corbels with low main reinforcement ratio or those with large shear span-to-depth ratio or those with lower concrete strength.

Increasing the main reinforcement results in an increase in the ultimate shear strength and leads to more ductile failure especially, when the fiber is presented.

Ultimate shear capacity of high strength fiber reinforced concrete corbels can be reasonably predicted by using Compione's equations.

REFERENCES


14. ACI committee 318. "Building Code Requirement for Reinforcement Concrete (ACI 318-08)," American Concrete Institute, Detroit, 2008.
سلوك القص في الكوابيل الخرسانية المصنوعة من خرسانة

علاقة المقاومة تحتوى على أياف من الصلب

أجريت الدراسة العملية في هذا البحث بغرض دراسة سلوك القص في الكوابيل الخرسانية المسلحة المصنوعة من خرسانة عالية المقاومة تحتوى على أياف من الصلب أو بدونها. وقد أجريت التجارب العملية على سبعة عشر كابولي و كانت المتغيرات التي تم دراستها كالتالي:

1- نسبة أياف الصلب بالخلطة الخرسانية ($V_f \%$)
2- نسبة بحر القص إلى عمق القص للكابولي ($a/d$)
3- مقاومة الضغط للخرسانة ($f_{cu}$)
4- مساحة مقطع حendid التسليح الرئيسي ($A_{sm}$)
5- وجود أياف من عدمه

كذلك تم في هذه الدراسة مقارنة مقاومة القص القصوى للكوابيل التي تم الحصول عليها معملياً بتلك المحسوبة من المعادلات المقتشرة بجانب معادلات الكود الأمريكي.

و من الـ2 النتائج التي تم الحصول عليها في هذا البحث ما يلي:

1. وجود أياف الصلب بالخلطة الخرسانية يحسن من سلوك الكوابيل القصيرة في القص من ناحية حمل القص الأقصى و كذلك المطلوبة.
2. فاعلية أياف في زيادة مقاومة القص القصواليكوابيل القصيرة يتناقص مع وجود الكائنات الأفقية.
3. يظهر أيضاً دور الألياف بوضوح في زيادة كل من حمل القص الأقصى و المطلوبة للكوابيل القصيرة عندما تكون نسبة التشليب الرئيسي منخفضة أو عندما يزيد نسبة بحر القص إلى العميق الفعال للكابولي.
4. أظهرت نتائج مقارنة قيم مقاومة القص القصوى للكوابيل القصيرة الخرسانية المصنوعة من خرسانة عالية المقاومة تحتوى على أياف الصلب و التي تم الحصول عليها معملياً بتلك المحسوبة عن المعادلات المتوقعة أن المعادلات تموزج G. Campine تعطي نتائج مرضية.